arXiv:1407.4555v1 [math.DG] 17 Jul 2014

On symmetric Willmore surfaces in spheres II: the orientation reversing case

Josef Dorfmeister*, Peng Wang †

July 18, 2014

Abstract

In this paper we provide a systematic treatment of Willmore surfaces with orientation reversing symmetries and illustrate the theory by new examples. In particular, we give a precise description of all potentials producing Willmore immersions in S^{n+2} with symmetries of finite order and a fixed point. Also, we show that some special symmetries of these symmetries of Willmore surfaces in S^{2m} only occur for Willmore surfaces which are conformally equivalent to minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$. For finite order, orientation reversing symmetries without fixed points, the general theory is presented and concrete examples are given. In particular, for low dimensions, we apply our theory to isotropic Willmore two-spheres in S^4 and derive a necessary condition for such (branched) isotropic surfaces to descend to (branched) maps from $\mathbb{R}P^2$ to S^4. The Veronese sphere and some new examples of (non-branched) Willmore immersions from $\mathbb{R}P^2$ to S^4 are derived as an illustration of the general theory, which are all conformally equivalent to some minimal immersions in S^4. We also illustrate Lawson's minimal Klein bottle in S^3 by our theory as another kind of example.

1 Introduction

This is the second paper concerning Willmore surfaces with symmetries. As stated in the title, we consider symmetries inducing anti-holomorphic automorphisms on the Riemann surface on which the Willmore surface is defined. Non-orientable Willmore surfaces can then be looked at as orientable Willmore surfaces invariant under some fixed point free anti-holomorphic involution, more precisely, as a quotient under such an involution.

We will use all the notation and the basic results listed in [5] and use them in this paper to discuss the orientation reversing case. To this end, in Section 2, we consider (orientable) Willmore immersions with orientation reversing symmetries. In addition to the general theory which is slightly more technical than the theory for the orientation preserving case we discuss in detail, as one of the main results of this paper, how to generate Willmore surfaces with orientation reversing symmetries of finite order. The case of such symmetries with a fixed point

*Fakultät für Mathematik, TU-München, Boltzmann str. 3, D-85747, Garching, Germany. dorfm@ma.tum.de

†Department of Mathematics, Tongji University, Siping Road 1239, Shanghai, 200092, P. R. China. netwangpeng@tongji.edu.cn

Keywords: Symmetry; Willmore surfaces; Willmore sphere; invariant potentials.

is solved completely. For the case of finite order symmetries without fixed point we recall that, up to biholomorphic equivalence, there is only one such map on S^2 and none in the other simply connected cases. Therefore, if one considers orientation reversing symmetries for surfaces defined on the unit disk or the complex plane, then one needs to deal with infinite order anti-holomorphic automorphisms of these complex domains. This will be discussed in subsections 2.3 and 3.1. In particular we show that it is not possible to obtain non-orientable Willmore $\mathbb{R}P^2$ from invariant potentials.

Section 3 is an application of the theory presented in Section 2 to the construction of non-orientable Willmore surfaces. As a main result, in Section 4, for the concrete low dimensional applications presented in this paper we prove in Theorem 4.1 a necessary condition to obtain isotropic Willmore two-spheres in S^4 invariant under the anti-holomorphic automorphism $\mu(z) = -\frac{1}{\bar{z}}$, i.e. a (branched) Willmore immersion from $\mathbb{R}P^2$ to S^4. The well-known Veronese sphere which is considered as a quotient of an orientable surface by some anti-holomorphic involution, is presented as a concrete example. Moreover, new Willmore immersions from $\mathbb{R}P^2$ to S^4 are also derived explicitly, which are unknown before to the authors' best knowledge. Additionally, we also discuss briefly Willmore Moebius strips and Klein bottles by applying the theory in Section 3 to Lawson's minimal Klein bottle in S^3. These examples indicate that, this paper gives a blueprint for the discussion of all Willmore surfaces defined on non-orientable surfaces. While the technical details will stay difficult, the procedure is clear and straightforward.

We end this paper with a proof of Theorem 4.1 in Section 6.

2 Willmore immersions with orientation reversing symmetries

In this paper we discuss orientation reversing symmetries for the simply connected Riemann surfaces $\mathbb{D}$ = unit disk, complex plane or Riemann sphere S^2 simultaneously. We use in all cases the usual procedure as outlined in [3], [4]. In the case of $\mathbb{D} = S^2$ this needs a bit of interpretation. For this we recall that in [4] we have shown that every Willmore sphere can be generated from some meromorphic potential η by an application of the usual DPW procedure. This means, one considers a solution F_- to the ode $dF_- = F_-\eta$ and can assume that it is meromorphic on S^2. One can also assume that $F_-(z_0, \lambda) = I$ at some base point z_0. Then one performs an Iwasawa decomposition, at least locally around z_0. The corresponding real factor is called "extended frame", but due to topological restrictions it necessarily has singularities. This does not affect its role as "extended frame" in the sense of the loop group theory. In particular, by projection to the symmetric target space G/K of the conformally harmonic maps of Willmore immersions, also this singular extended frame induces some conformally harmonic map, maybe with singularities, and where possible (as in the case of contractible simply- connected covers), this harmonic map induces some Willmore surface. For this reason, we will not distinguish in the treatment of Willmore surfaces according to what simply-connected cover they have.

Throughout this paper we will use the notation introduced in [5]. In particular, by "surface" we mean "branched surface" unless the opposite is stated explicitly.

2.1 The general situation

As in [5] we consider a symmetry of some Willmore surface y to be a pair of maps (ρ, S) satisfying $y(\rho.z) = Sy(z)$, where S is a conformal automorphism of S^{n+2} which maps $y(\mathbb{D})$ onto itself. In the case of this paper, ρ is usually an anti-holomorphic automorphism of $\mathbb{D}$, but may also be holomorphic, depending on the context. Our notion of symmetry is geometrically natural, since from Theorem 3.1 of [5] we know that in the case that the induced metric is complete and that

the orientation of y is reversed by a "naive" symmetry of y, i.e. a conformal transformation $\hat{S}$ of S^{n+2} satisfying $\hat{S}.y(\mathbb{D}) = y(\mathbb{D})$, one obtains an orientation reversing conformal (anti-holomorphic) transformation μ of $\mathbb{D}$ such that

$$y(\mu(p)) = [Y(\mu(p))] = [\hat{S}Y(p)] = \hat{S}.y(p) \quad \text{for all} \quad p \in \mathbb{D}. \tag{2.1}$$

Assume that $\mu^*Y = e^{\tau}\hat{S}Y$ for some real valued function τ. Let z be a complex coordinate of $\mathbb{D}$. Since

$$(\mu^*Y)_z = \mu^*(Y_{\bar{z}}) \cdot \frac{\partial \bar{\mu}}{\partial z},$$

we obtain

$$\mu^*(Y_z) = \left(\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \bar{z}}\right)^{-1} (\tau_{\bar{z}} e^{\tau} RY + e^{\tau} RY_{\bar{z}}),$$

from which we infer by setting $Y_z = \frac{1}{2}(e_1 - ie_2)$

$$\gamma^* N = e^{-\tau}(\hat{S}N + a\mu^* e_1 + b\mu^* e_2 + (a^2 + b^2)\hat{S}Y).$$

Consider

$$F = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(Y + N), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-Y + N), e_1, e_2, \psi_1, \cdots, \psi_n\right)$$

as in Proposition 2.2 of [4]. Similar to Remark 4.3 of [5], we derive

$$\mu^* F(z, \bar{z}) = F(z, \bar{z})k, \quad k = \begin{pmatrix} k_1 & 0 \\ 0 & k_2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.2}$$

with $k_1 P_{11} \in SO^+(1,3)$, $P_{11} = \operatorname{diag}(1,1,1,-1)$. We also obtain from this that $k_2 \in SO(n)$ if $\det \hat{S} = -1$ and $k_2 P_{22} \in SO(n)$ with $P_{22} = \operatorname{diag}(-1,1,\cdots,1)$ if $\det \hat{S} = 1$.

Since the conformal Gauss map is defined by

$$f := Y \wedge N \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2,$$

for the discussion of orientation reversing Willmore surfaces it turns out to be useful to define a "reversing conformal Gauss map"

$$f^\sharp = Gr^\sharp := Y \wedge N \wedge e_1 \wedge (-e_2). \tag{2.3}$$

The symmetry $(\mu, \hat{S})$ of y hence induces the symmetry

$$f(\mu(p)) = \hat{S} f(p)^\sharp, \tag{2.4}$$

of f.

For an S-Willmore surface y, when its dual $\hat{y}$ is an immersion, then, since y and $\hat{y}$ share the same conformal Gauss map, it is possible that there exists some $\hat{S} \in SO^+(1, n+3)$ such that

$$\hat{Y}(\mu(p)) = \hat{S}Y(p), \tag{2.5}$$

for some anti-holomorphic automorphism $\mu : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$. In this case, since y and $\hat{y}$ share the same conformal Gauss map, on the conformal Gauss map level we obtain

$$f(\mu(p)) = \hat{f}(\mu(p)) = \hat{S} f(p)^\sharp. \tag{2.6}$$

So in a sum, we obtain

Theorem 2.1. *Let $y : \mathbb{D} \to S^{n+2}$ be a Willmore immersion and $\left(\mu, \hat{S}\right)$ be a symmetry of y as stated in* (2.1) *or of y together with its dual surface $\hat{y}$ as stated in* (2.5)*. Then the conformal Gauss map f of y satisfies*

$$f(\mu(p)) = \hat{S} f(p)^\sharp, \tag{2.7}$$

where $\hat{S}$ denotes the isometry of the symmetric space $SO^+(1, n+3)/SO^+(1,3) \times SO(n)$, which is induced by $\hat{S}$ considered as an element of the Lorentz group $O^+(1, n+3)$.

Moreover, let F denote the moving frame associated with f as defined in Section 2 of [4]. Then there exists some $k : \mathbb{D} \to O^+(1,3) \times O(n)$ such that

$$\mu^* F(p) = \hat{S} F(p) k(p). \tag{2.8}$$

After introducing the loop parameter as usual (see Section 4.1 of [5]), we obtain

Theorem 2.2. *Let $(\mu, \hat{S})$ be an orientation reversing symmetry of the harmonic map $f : \mathbb{D} \to SO^+(1, n+3)/SO^+(1,3) \times SO(n)$. Let $F(z, \bar{z}, \lambda)$ be a lift of f satisfying the initial condition $F(0, 0, \lambda) = I$. Then there exists some $\hat{M}(\lambda) \in \Lambda O^+(1, n+3)_\sigma$ and $\hat{k}(z, \bar{z}) \in O^+(1,3) \times O(n)$ such that*

$$F(\mu(z), \overline{\mu(z)}, \lambda) = M(\lambda) \cdot F(z, \bar{z}, \lambda^{-1}) \cdot \hat{k}(z, \bar{z}). \tag{2.9}$$

Moreover, setting

$$P_1 = diag(1, 1, 1, -1, I_n), \;\; P_2 = diag(1, 1, 1, -1, -1, 1, \cdots, 1),$$

we obtain

$$P_1^{-1} \hat{k}(z, \bar{z}) \in SO^+(1,3) \times SO(n) \text{ and } M(\lambda) P_1 \in \Lambda SO^+(1, n+3)_\sigma \text{ if } \det \hat{S} = -1,$$

and

$$P_2^{-1} \hat{k}(z, \bar{z}) \in SO^+(1,3) \times SO(n) \text{ and } M(\lambda) \in \Lambda SO^+(1, n+3)_\sigma \text{ if } \det \hat{S} = 1.$$

Proof. From (2.8) we know

$$F(\mu(z), \overline{\mu(z)}) = \hat{S} F(z, \bar{z}) \hat{k}(z, \bar{z}). \tag{2.10}$$

Note, in this case, $\hat{k}$ does not take values in K. We will deal with this below at the end of the proof. Consider the Maurer-Cartan form $\alpha(z, \bar{z}) = F(z, \bar{z})^{-1} dF(z, \bar{z})$ and expand

$$\alpha(z, \bar{z}) = \alpha'(z, \bar{z})_{\mathfrak{p}} + \alpha(z, \bar{z})_{\mathfrak{k}} + \alpha''(z, \bar{z})_{\mathfrak{p}}. \tag{2.11}$$

Since conjugation by $\hat{k}$ leaves $\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ invariant, (2.10) yields

$$\mu^* \alpha'_{\mathfrak{p}} + \mu^* \alpha_{\mathfrak{k}} + \mu^* \alpha''_{\mathfrak{p}} = \hat{k}^{-1} \alpha'_{\mathfrak{p}} \hat{k} + (\hat{k}^{-1} \alpha_{\mathfrak{k}} \hat{k} + \hat{k}^{-1} d\hat{k}) + \hat{k}^{-1} \alpha''_{\mathfrak{p}} \hat{k}. \tag{2.12}$$

Note that $\mu^* \alpha'_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a $(0,1)-$form and $\mu^* \alpha''_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a $(1,0)-$form. Thus (2.12) is equivalent with

$$\mu^* \alpha'_{\mathfrak{p}} = \hat{k}^{-1} \alpha''_{\mathfrak{p}} \hat{k}, \;\; \mu^* \alpha_{\mathfrak{k}} = \hat{k}^{-1} \alpha_{\mathfrak{k}} \hat{k} + \hat{k}^{-1} d\hat{k}, \;\; \text{and} \;\; \mu^* \alpha''_{\mathfrak{p}} = \hat{k}^{-1} \alpha'_{\mathfrak{p}} \hat{k}. \tag{2.13}$$

In view of (2.13) it is now easy to see that the Maurer-Cartan form $\alpha(z, \lambda)$ of the extended frame $F(z, \bar{z}, \lambda)$ of the conformal Gauss map of f satisfies

$$\mu^* \alpha(z, \lambda) = \hat{k}^{-1} \alpha(z, \lambda^{-1}) \hat{k} + \hat{k}^{-1} d\hat{k}. \tag{2.14}$$

This implies for the extended frame

$$F(\mu(z),\overline{\mu(z)},\lambda) = M(\lambda)F(z,\bar z,\lambda^{-1})\hat k(z,\bar z), \tag{2.15}$$

where a priory $M(\lambda)\in\Lambda O^+(1,n+3)_\sigma$ and $M(\lambda=1)=\hat S$.

If $\det\hat S=\det\hat k=-1$, then $\hat SP_1\in SO^+(1,n+3)$ and analogously $MP_1\in\Lambda SO^+(1,n+3)_\sigma$ and $P_1^{-1}\hat k\in K\subset SO^+(1,n+3)$. If $\det\hat S=\det\hat k=1$, then $\hat SP_2\in SO^+(1,n+3)$ and analogously $MP_2\in\Lambda SO^+(1,n+3)_\sigma$ and $P_2^{-1}\hat k\in K\subset SO^+(1,n+3)$. □

Remark 2.3. It will be helpful to write a single monodromy matrix $M(\lambda)$ in the form MP_1^m with $m=1$ or 2. If one considers large groups of symmetries, such a representation of the corresponding monodromy matrices does not seem to be useful.

Finally, we want to determine the transformation formula for the normalized potentials.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $(\mu,\hat S)$ be an orientation reversing symmetry of the harmonic map $f:\mathbb{D}\to SO^+(1,n+3)/SO^+(1,3)\times SO(n)$, with $F(z,\bar z,\lambda)$ a lift of f satisfying the initial condition $F(0,0,\lambda)=I$. Assume that*

$$F(z,\bar z,\lambda) = F_-(z,\lambda)\cdot L_+(z,\bar z,\lambda).$$

Set $P=P_1=diag(1,1,1,-1,I_n)$, and $m=\frac12(\det\hat S+3)$. Then

$$\mu^*F_-(z,\lambda) = M(\lambda)P^m\cdot P^m\overline{F_-(z,\lambda^{-1})}P^m\cdot W_+(\bar z,\lambda), \tag{2.16}$$

where

$$W_+(\bar z,\lambda) = P^m\overline{L_+(z,\bar z,\lambda^{-1})}\cdot\hat k(z,\bar z)\cdot(\mu^*L_+(z,\bar z,\lambda))^{-1}\in(\Lambda^+G_\sigma^{\mathbb{C}}). \tag{2.17}$$

For the normalized potential $\eta=F_-^{-1}dF_-$ of f we obtain from (2.16)

$$\mu^*\eta = P^m\overline{\eta(z,\lambda^{-1})}P^m\sharp W_+, \tag{2.18}$$

where "$\sharp W_+$" means gauging by W_+.

Proof. Since the extended frame F is real, it satisfies

$$F(z,\bar z,\lambda) = \overline{F(z,\bar z,\lambda)} \tag{2.19}$$

for all $z\in\mathbb{D}$ and all $\lambda\in S^1$. Then, in view of (2.9), we have

$$\mu^*F(z,\bar z,\lambda) = M(\lambda)\cdot F(z,\bar z,\lambda^{-1})\cdot\hat k(z,\bar z) = M(\lambda)P^m\cdot P^m\overline{F(z,\bar z,\lambda^{-1})}P^m\cdot P^m\hat k(z,\bar z).$$

Therefore, considering the usual Birkhoff splitting (locally near $z=0$)

$$F=F_-L_+,\quad\text{with}\quad F_-=I+\mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1}),$$

we obtain

$$\mu^*F_-(z,\lambda)\cdot\mu^*L_+(z,\bar z,\lambda) = M(\lambda)P^m\cdot P^m\overline{F_-(z,\lambda^{-1})}\cdot\overline{L_+(z,\bar z,\lambda^{-1})}P^m\cdot P^m\hat k(z,\bar z).$$

The formulas (2.16) and (2.18) now follow directly. □

Theorem 2.5. *Let η be a potential for some harmonic map $f : \mathbb{D} \to SO^+(1,n+3)/SO^+(1,3)\times SO(n)$, which is the conformal Gauss map of a Willmore surface y. Let μ be an anti-holomorphic automorphism of $\mathbb{D}$ and assume that equation (2.18) holds for some $W_+ \in \Lambda^+SO^+(1,n+3,\mathbb{C})_\sigma)^0$. Then there exists some $M(\lambda) \in (\Lambda SO^+(1,n+3,\mathbb{C})_\sigma)^0$ such that for the solution C to $dC = C\eta,\ \ C(z=0,\lambda) = I$ the following equation is satisfied*

$$\mu^*C(z,\lambda) = M(\lambda)P^m\overline{C(z,\lambda^{-1})}P^m \cdot W_+. \tag{2.20}$$

Moreover, μ induces a symmetry of the harmonic map f associated with η if and only if W_+ and M can be chosen such that $M(\lambda) \in (\Lambda SO^+(1,n+3)_\sigma)^0$ and (2.20) holds. In this case μ induces the symmetry

$$\mu^*f = M(\lambda)P^m f^\sharp \tag{2.21}$$

of the harmonic map f. If f induces a unique Willmore immersion y, then we also have

$$\mu^*y(z,\bar z,\lambda) = M(\lambda)P^m \cdot y(z,\bar z,\lambda^{-1}) = [M(\lambda)P^mY(z,\bar z,\lambda^{-1})]. \tag{2.22}$$

If f induces a pair of dual Willmore immersions y and $\hat y$, then we have either

$$\mu^*y(z,\bar z,\lambda) = M(\lambda)P^m \cdot y(z,\bar z,\lambda^{-1}) = [M(\lambda)P^mY(z,\bar z,\lambda^{-1})], \tag{2.23}$$

or

$$\mu^*\hat y(z,\bar z,\lambda) = M(\lambda)P^m \cdot y(z,\bar z,\lambda^{-1}) = [M(\lambda)P^mY(z,\bar z,\lambda^{-1})]. \tag{2.24}$$

Proof. Integrating $dC = C\eta$ with $C(z=0,\lambda) = I$ and using (2.18) one obtains (2.20). Consider the Iwasawa decomposition $C = F\cdot F_+$, we have

$$\mu^*C = \mu^*F(z,\bar z,\lambda)\cdot\mu^*F_+(z,\bar z,\lambda) = MP^m\overline{F(z,\bar z,\lambda^{-1})\cdot F_+(z,\bar z,\lambda^{-1})}P^m\cdot W_+.$$

From this we derive

$$\mu^*F(z,\bar z,\lambda) = MP^m\overline{F(z,\bar z,\lambda^{-1})}\cdot\hat k(z,\bar z)$$

for some $\hat k(z,\bar z) \in O^+(1,3)\times O(n)$. Therefore (2.21) follows since μ reverses the orientation of $\mathbb{D}$. The rest of the proof is the same as the proof of Theorem 3.11 of [5]. □

From the proofs of above theorems we can obtain

Corollary 2.6. *f has the symmetry (2.21) if and only if C satisfies*

$$(\overline{C(z,\lambda^{-1})})^{-1}\cdot P^m\cdot M(\lambda)^{-1}\cdot\mu^*C(z,\lambda) \in \Lambda^+SO^+(1,n+3,\mathbb{C})_\sigma. \tag{2.25}$$

with some $M(\lambda) \in (\Lambda SO^+(1,n+3)_\sigma)^o$.

2.2 Symmetries of finite order with a fixed point

In the case of orientation preserving symmetries (γ, R) of finite order the map γ always has a fixed point. Normalizing frames at such fixed points yields simple transformation formulas for potentials, frames and immersions. This also gives a clear recipe for how to find potentials yielding Willmore surfaces with finite order symmetries. In the case of orientation reversing symmetries $(\mu, \hat S)$ it is equally easy to find useful formulas, if the symmetry has finite order and μ has a fixed point.

So let's consider a symmetry $(\mu, \hat S)$ of some Willmore immersion such that the equations $\mu^{2m} = id$, $\hat S^{2m} = I$ and $\mu(z_0) = z_0$ hold.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $\mathbb{D}$ be the unit disk or the complex plane, with an anti-holomorphic automorphism μ of finite order n and a fixed point z_0. Let $(\mu, \hat{S})$ be an orientation reversing symmetry of the harmonic map $f : \mathbb{D} \to SO^+(1, n+3)/SO^+(1,3) \times SO(n)$. If we normalize the extended frame of F so that $F(z_0, \lambda) = I$ holds, then the monodromy matrix $\hat{\chi}$ of the extended frame is independent of z and of λ, and $\sigma(\hat{\chi}) = \hat{\chi}$. Setting $\hat{S} = \hat{\chi}(\lambda)$ we obtain for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$*

$$\mu^* F(z, \bar{z}, \lambda) = \hat{S} F(z, \bar{z}, \lambda^{-1})\hat{k} = \hat{S} \cdot \overline{F(z, \bar{z}, \lambda^{-1})} \cdot \hat{k}. \tag{2.26}$$

Moreover, if $F = F_- L_+$ denotes the unique Birkhoff splitting of F, then we have

$$\mu^* F_-(z, \lambda) = \hat{S} \cdot \overline{F_-(z, \lambda^{-1})} \cdot \hat{S}^{-1}, \tag{2.27}$$

and for the Maurer-Cartan form η of F_-, we obtain

$$\mu^* \eta(z, \lambda) = \hat{S} \overline{\eta(z, \lambda^{-1})} \hat{S}^{-1}. \tag{2.28}$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.2, we have

$$\mu^* F(z, \bar{z}, \lambda) = \hat{\chi}(\lambda) F(z, \bar{z}, \lambda^{-1})\hat{k}. \tag{2.29}$$

Normalizing the frame F to attain I at z_0, i.e. assuming $F(z_0, \bar{z}_0, \lambda) = I$, we obtain $I = \hat{\chi}(\lambda)\hat{k}$. From this we infer that $\hat{\chi}(\lambda) = \hat{S}$ is independent of z and of λ, also $\sigma(\hat{\chi}) = \hat{\chi}$. We also have

$$\mu^* F(z, \bar{z}, \lambda) = \hat{S} F(z, \bar{z}, \lambda^{-1})\hat{k}(z, \bar{z}) = \hat{S} \cdot \overline{F(z, \bar{z}, \lambda^{-1})} \cdot \hat{k}(z, \bar{z}).$$

After a unique Birkhoff decomposition $F(z, \lambda) = F_-(z, \lambda) \cdot L_+(z, \lambda)$ as above we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^* F_-(z, \lambda) \cdot \mu^* L_+(z, \bar{z}, \lambda) &= \hat{S} \overline{F(z, \bar{z}, \lambda^{-1})} \hat{k} \\ &= \hat{S} \cdot \overline{F_-(z, , \lambda^{-1})} \cdot \overline{L_+(z, \bar{z}, \lambda^{-1})} \cdot \hat{k} \\ &= \hat{S} \cdot \overline{F_-(z, \lambda^{-1})} \hat{S}^{-1} \cdot \hat{S} \overline{L_+(z, \bar{z}, \lambda^{-1})} \cdot \hat{k}, \end{aligned}$$

from which we infer

$$\mu^* F_-(z, \lambda) = \hat{S} \overline{F_-(z, \lambda^{-1})} \hat{S}^{-1}, \quad \mu^* L_+(z, \bar{z}, \lambda) = \hat{S} \overline{L_+(z, \bar{z}, \lambda^{-1})}^t \hat{k}.$$

Hence the Maurer-Cartan form $\eta(z, \lambda) = F_-(z, \lambda)^{-1} dF_-(z, \lambda)$ of the meromorphic extended frame $F_-(z, \lambda)$ satisfies

$$\mu^* \eta(z, \lambda) = (\mu^* F_-(z, \lambda))^{-1} \cdot d\mu^* F_-(z, \lambda) = \hat{S} \overline{F_-(z, \lambda^{-1})} \cdot d\overline{F_-(z, \lambda^{-1})} \hat{S}^{-1} = \hat{S} \overline{\eta(z, \lambda^{-1})} \hat{S}^{-1}.$$

□

Applying Theorem 2.5, we have

Theorem 2.8. *We retain the notion of Theorem 2.5. Assume furthermore that the normalized potential η satisfies (2.28) for some $\hat{S} \in O^+(1,3) \times O(n)$. Let C be the solution to the ode $dC = C\eta$, $C(0, \lambda) = I$, where $z_0 = 0$ is a fixed point of μ. By Iwasawa splitting of C, we obtain $F(z, \lambda) = C \cdot C_+ \in (\Lambda SO^+(1, n+3)_\sigma)^o$ and*

$$\mu^* F(z, \lambda) = \hat{S} F(z, \lambda^{-1})\hat{k}(z, \bar{z}). \tag{2.30}$$

From this we infer

$$\mu^* f(z, \bar{z}, \lambda) = \hat{S} f(z, \bar{z}, \lambda^{-1})^\sharp. \tag{2.31}$$

Moreover, if f induces a unique Willmore immersion y, then we also have

$$\mu^* y(z,\bar z,\lambda) = \hat S\cdot y(z,\bar z,\lambda^{-1}) = [\hat S Y(z,\bar z,\lambda^{-1})]. \tag{2.32}$$

If f induces a pair of dual Willmore immersions y and $\hat y$, then we have either

$$\mu^* y(z,\bar z,\lambda) = \hat S\cdot y(z,\bar z,\lambda^{-1}) = [\hat S Y(z,\bar z,\lambda^{-1})], \tag{2.33}$$

or

$$\mu^* \hat y(z,\bar z,\lambda) = \hat S\cdot y(z,\bar z,\lambda^{-1}) = [\hat S Y(z,\bar z,\lambda^{-1})]. \tag{2.34}$$

Altogether we have the following simple recipe to construct Willmore immersions with finite order symmetries:

Let μ be a finite order automorphism of $\mathbb{D}$ = unit disk, $\mathbb{C}$ or S^2 and assume μ has a fixed point or has two fixed points if $\mathbb{D}=S^2$ (so that it reduces to a finite order automorphism of $\mathbb{C}$ by setting one of them to be ∞). Let $\hat S = diag(\hat S_1,\hat S_2)\in O^+(1,3)\times O(n)$ be any matrix of the same order as μ. Let's write in (2.28) the matrix η more precisely

$$\eta = \lambda^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_1\\ -B_1^t I_{1,3} & 0\end{pmatrix} dz,\quad \text{with}\quad B_1(\mu(z)) = \hat S_1\overline{B_1(z)}\hat S_2^{-1}. \tag{2.35}$$

If η corresponds to a Willmore surface, then this Willmore surface, or the Willmore surface together with its dual surface, will have the symmetry $(\mu,\hat S)$.

As a concrete case we consider $\mu(z)=\bar z$. There are many different choices of $\hat S$. Here we discuss two cases. One case is $\hat S = P = (\hat P_1, I_n)$. The other case is that $\hat S=\hat P=(\hat P_1,\hat P_2)$ with $\hat P_2 = \mathrm{diag}(1,-1,\cdots,1,-1)$. Then every η as above, satisfying

$$\eta = \lambda^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_1\\ -B_1^t I_{1,3} & 0\end{pmatrix} dz,\quad \text{with}\quad B_1(\bar z) = \hat P_1\overline{B_1(z)},\ \ \hat P_1 = \mathrm{diag}(1,1,1,-1), \tag{2.36}$$

will generate a Willmore immersion with symmetry (μ,P). Similarly every η with

$$B_1(\bar z) = \hat P_1\overline{B_1(z)}\hat P_2,\ \ \hat P_1 = \mathrm{diag}(1,1,1,-1),\ \ \hat P_2 = \mathrm{diag}(1,-1,\cdots,1,-1), \tag{2.37}$$

will generate a Willmore immersion with symmetry $(\mu,\hat P)$.

For the second case, we obtain

Theorem 2.9. *Let $\mathbb{D}$ denote the unit disk or the complex plane with the anti-holomorphic transformation $\mu(z)=\bar z$. Let y be a Willmore surface in S^{2m} which admits the symmetry $(\mu,\hat P)$ with $\hat P = diag(1,1,1,-1,1,-1,\cdots,1,-1)$. Let*

$$\eta = \lambda^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_1\\ -B_1^t I_{1,3} & 0\end{pmatrix} dz,\quad \textit{with}\quad B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde h_{11} & \tilde h_{12} & \cdots & \tilde h_{1,2m-2}\\ \tilde h_{21} & \tilde h_{22} & \cdots & \tilde h_{2,2m-2}\\ \tilde h_{31} & \tilde h_{32} & \cdots & \tilde h_{3,2m-2}\\ \tilde h_{41} & \tilde h_{42} & \cdots & \tilde h_{4,2m-2}\end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.38}$$

be the normalized potential of its conformal Gauss map, with $\tilde h_{jl}$ being meromorphic functions. Then

$$\begin{cases} \tilde h_{1j}(\bar z) = (-1)^{j+1}\overline{\tilde h_{1j}(z)},\\ \tilde h_{2j}(\bar z) = (-1)^{j}\overline{\tilde h_{2j}(z)},\\ \tilde h_{3j}(\bar z) = (-1)^{j}\overline{\tilde h_{3j}(z)},\\ \tilde h_{4j}(\bar z) = (-1)^{j+1}\overline{\tilde h_{4j}(z)},\end{cases} \tag{2.39}$$

for $j = 1, \cdots, 2m-2$. In other words, the functions h_{1j} and h_{4j} are real along $\mathbb{R}$ if j is odd and purely imaginary if j is even. The functions h_{2j} and h_{3j} are real along $\mathbb{R}$ if j is even and purely imaginary if j is odd. For Willmore immersions from S^2 to S^{2m} with symmetry $(\mu, \hat{P})$ the functions h_{jl} need to be in addition rational.

Proof. Substituting $B_1 = (h_{jl})$ into (2.37) yields (2.38) directly. □

Example 2.10. Let

$$B_1 = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} i(f_3' - f_2') & -(f_3' - f_2') \\ i(f_3' + f_2') & -(f_3' + f_2') \\ f_4' - f_1' & i(f_4' - f_1') \\ i(f_4' + f_1') & -(f_4' + f_1') \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad f_1 = \frac{4z^3}{3},\ f_2 = iz^2 = f_3,\ f_4 = z.$$

It is straightforward to see that $f_1' f_4' + f_2' f_3' = 0$ holds. And from formula (2.7) of [5] (see also [13]), we obtain

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} 4r^2 + \frac{4}{9}r^6 + r^4 + 1 \\ 4r^2 - \frac{4}{9}r^6 + r^4 - 1 \\ 2(z+\bar{z})(\frac{r^4}{3} - 1) \\ -2i(z-\bar{z})(\frac{r^4}{3} - 1) \\ \lambda^{-1}z^2 + \lambda\bar{z}^2)(\frac{4r^2}{3} + 1) \\ i(\lambda^{-1}z^2 - \lambda\bar{z}^2)(\frac{4r^2}{3} + 1) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.40}$$

One checks easily that η has the symmetry (μ, P_2):

$$\eta(\mu(z)) = \eta(\bar{z}) = P_2 \eta P_2^{-1}$$

with P_2 as stated in (2.37). The symmetry (μ, P_2) yields

$$F(\mu(z), \lambda) = P_2 F(z, \lambda) P_2^{-1}.$$

Deriving

$$y_\lambda = \frac{1}{4r^2 + \frac{4}{9}r^6 + r^4 + 1}\begin{pmatrix} 4r^2 - \frac{4}{9}r^6 + r^4 - 1 \\ 2(z+\bar{z})(\frac{r^4}{3} - 1) \\ -2i(z-\bar{z})(\frac{r^4}{3} - 1) \\ \lambda^{-1}z^2 + \lambda\bar{z}^2)(\frac{4r^2}{3} + 1) \\ i(\lambda^{-1}z^2 - \lambda\bar{z}^2)(\frac{4r^2}{3} + 1) \end{pmatrix}$$

from F as in (8) of [4] we obtain

$$\mu^* y_\lambda(z) = \hat{P}_2 y_\lambda(z).$$

It is not hard to verify ([13]) that y_λ is minimal in S^4 and the metric of y_λ is

$$\langle y_z, y_{\bar{z}} \rangle = \frac{8(1 + r^2 + 2r^4 + \frac{16r^6}{9} + \frac{r^8}{9})}{(4r^2 + \frac{4}{9}r^6 + r^4 + 1)^2}$$

with $r = |z|$. Hence, y_λ is a minimal immersion in S^4 (without branch points) with

$$Area(y_\lambda) = W(y) + 4\pi = 12\pi.$$

Note that y_λ shares the same Willmore functional as Veronese spheres and they are characterized as twistor deformations of Veronese sphere in [10].

Next we consider the symmetry (μ, P) introduced above.

Theorem 2.11. *Let $\mathbb{D}$ denote the unit disk or the complex plane and let $\mu(z) = \bar{z}$. Let y be a Willmore surface in S^{2m} of finite uniton type which admits the symmetry (μ, P) with $P = diag(1, 1, 1, -1, I_n)$. Then y is conformally equivalent to a minimal surface in $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.1 of [11], the normalized potential of a Willmore surface of finite uniton type in S^{2m} is of the form

$$B_1 = (\mathrm{v}_1, \hat{\mathrm{v}}_1, \cdots, \mathrm{v}_{m-1}, \hat{\mathrm{v}}_{m-1}),$$

where $\mathrm{v}_j, \hat{\mathrm{v}}_j$ are either of the form

$$\mathrm{v}_j = \begin{pmatrix} h_{1j} \\ h_{1j} \\ h_{3j} \\ ih_{3j} \end{pmatrix}, \ \hat{\mathrm{v}}_j = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{h}_{1j} \\ \hat{h}_{1j} \\ \hat{h}_{3j} \\ i\hat{h}_{3j} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.41}$$

or of the form

$$\mathrm{v}_j = \begin{pmatrix} h_{1j} \\ h_{2j} \\ h_{3j} \\ h_{4j} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{\mathrm{v}}_j = i\mathrm{v}_j = \begin{pmatrix} ih_{1j} \\ ih_{2j} \\ ih_{3j} \\ ih_{4j} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.42}$$

In either case they need to satisfy the equations

$$\mathrm{v}_j^t I_{1,3} \mathrm{v}_l = \mathrm{v}_j^t I_{1,3} \hat{\mathrm{v}}_l = \hat{\mathrm{v}}_j^t I_{1,3} \hat{\mathrm{v}}_l = 0, \ j, l = 1, \cdots, m-2.$$

For y to admit the orientation reversing symmetry (μ, P), we need B_1 to satisfy (2.36). It is straightforward to verify that v_j and $\hat{\mathrm{v}}_j$ are not allowed to be of the form (2.42). So all $\mathrm{v}_j, \hat{\mathrm{v}}_j$ are of the form (2.41). By Theorem 2.1 of [12] (see also Theorem 5.1 of [4]), y is conformally equivalent to a minimal surface in $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$. □

Example 2.12. Let

$$B_1 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\mathrm{hg}_z}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{\mathrm{hg}_z}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\mathrm{g}_z \\ -i\mathrm{g}_z \end{pmatrix}.$$

with h, g holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{D}$, $\mathrm{h}(0) = 1$, $\mathrm{g}(0) = 0$. By [12], the corresponding Willmore surface is

$$y = \frac{1}{1 + |x|^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - |x|^2, & 2x \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.43}$$

with x being the minimal surface

$$x = \mathrm{Re}\left(\lambda \cdot \int_{\mathbb{D}} (\mathrm{h}_z(1 - \mathrm{g}^2), -i\mathrm{h}_z(1 + \mathrm{g}^2), 2\mathrm{h}_z\mathrm{g}) dz \right), \text{ with } \lambda \in S^1. \tag{2.44}$$

It is straightforward to see that $y|_{\lambda=1}$ has a symmetry as in the theorem above, if and only if

$$\mathrm{g}_z(\bar{z}) = \overline{\mathrm{g}_z(z)}, \ \mathrm{h}(\bar{z}) = \overline{\mathrm{h}(z)}.$$

In other words, if we expand g and h into a power series with base point $z_0 = 0$,

$$\mathrm{g} = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\mathrm{g}_z^{(n)}(0) z^n}{n!}, \quad \mathrm{h} = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\mathrm{h}_z^{(n)}(0) z^n}{n!},$$

then the coefficients $\mathrm{g}_z^{(n)}(0)$ and $\mathrm{h}_z^{(n)}(0)$ are real numbers for $n = 0, 1, \cdots, \infty$.

1. Set $\mathrm{h} = z$, $\mathrm{g} = z^n$, then the corresponding minimal surface x above is a (generalized) Enneper surface. It is well-known that it has the reflection symmetry (μ, P) which is orientation reversing.

2. Set $\mathrm{h} = -\frac{1}{z}$, $\mathrm{g} = z$, then the corresponding minimal surface x above is a catenoid, with the reflection symmetry (μ, P). It has many reflection symmetries which are orientation reversing.

Remark 2.13. For a minimal surface x in $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$, by embedding $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ conformally into S^{n+2}, we obtain a (branched) Willmore surface y in S^{n+2}. And x admits a symmetry by some conformal transformation T of $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ if and only if y admits a symmetry by some conformal transformation $\tilde{T}$ of S^{n+2}, where $\tilde{T}$ is the induced conformal transformation by T on S^{n+2}. This way one sees that, in general, Willmore surfaces can admit many interesting groups of symmetries.

2.3 Symmetries of finite order without fixed point

It is easy to see that every holomorphic automorphism of finite order of $\mathbb{D}$ = unit disk, $\mathbb{C}$ or S^2 has a fixed point. After some conjugation by an appropriate holomorphic automorphism we can choose such a fixed point to be $z_0 = 0$. Then the finite order automorphism is $\mu(z) = az$, with $a^m = 1$ for some (positive) integer m. In the case of anti-holomorphic automorphisms of finite order the description is a bit more complicated. Since μ is anti-holomorphic, the equation $\mu^n = id$ implies $n = 2m$, m a positive integer. For $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{C}$ it is easy to see that every anti-holomorphic μ of finite order is conjugate to $\mu(z) = \bar{z}$. In the case $\mathbb{D}$ = unit disk one observes that the group generated by μ is finite, whence compact. Since μ is an isometry, it has a fixed point in the unit disk. Again, after some conjugation one obtains $\mu(z) = \bar{z}$. Consider now $\mathbb{D} = S^2$. In this case one can show that every finite order anti-holomorphic automorphism is up to bi-holomorphic equivalence either $\mu(z) = \bar{z}$ or $\mu(z) = -\frac{a}{\bar{z}}$. Note that up to the last case all finite order automorphisms have a fixed point and all anti-holomorphic finite order automorphisms actually have order 2. Since we have discussed these cases already in previous sections, we consider now S^2 and assume w.l.g. $\mu(z) = -\frac{a}{\bar{z}}$ with $a^{2m} = 1$.

We collect the following well-known results

Proposition 2.14. *Let M be a non-orientable surface. If the action of the fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$ of M on $\tilde{M}$ contains any anti-holomorphic transformation of finite order, then $M = S^2/\Gamma = \mathbb{R}P^2$, where $\Gamma = \{id, \mu\} = \pi_1(M)$ for $\mu(z) = -\frac{1}{\bar{z}}$.*

Proposition 2.15. *Let M be a non-orientable surface. Let $\tilde{M}$ denote the universal cover of M.*

a) If $\tilde{M} = S^2$, then $M = \mathbb{R}P^2$ and w.l.g. $\mu(z) = -\frac{1}{\bar{z}}$.

b) If $\tilde{M} \neq S^2$, then the action of $\pi_1(M)$ on $\tilde{M}$ does not contain any anti-holomorphic automorphism of finite order.

c) If $\tilde{M} \neq S^2$, then up to bi-holomorphic equivalence there exists exactly one anti-holomorphic automorphism without fixed point. For $\tilde{M} = \mathbb{C}$ one can choose $\mu(z) = \bar{z} + 1$ and for $\tilde{M} = \mathbb{H}$ one can choose $\mu(z) = c(-\bar{z})$ with $c > 0$.

Remark 2.16. 1) In part c) above and for the case $\tilde{M} = \mathbb{C}$ the transformation $z \to \bar{z} + 1$ generates a group Γ of transformations on $\mathbb{C}$ which acts fixed point free. As a matter of fact, the quotient $M = \mathbb{C}/\Gamma$ is the Moebius strip with a doubly infinite round cylinder as oriented double cover.

2) As an example for part c) above and in the case $\tilde{M} = \mathbb{H}$ the automorphism $z \to -c\bar{z}$ with $0 < c$ can be transformed to the strip $\mathbb{S} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; 0 < \Im z < \pi\}$ by the main branch of natural logarithm ln where it turns into the transformation $z \to \ln c + i\pi + \bar{z}$. It is easy to verify that the group Γ generated by this transformation acts fixed point free on $\mathbb{S}$ and the quotient $M = \mathbb{S}/\Gamma$ is the Moebius strip with a finite round cylinder as oriented double cover.

The discussion of the following section will show how one can realize these Moebius strips as Willmore surfaces.

3 Non-orientable Willmore surfaces as orientable Willmore surface with symmetries

Let $\check{M}$ be a (not necessarily simply-connected) Riemann surface with an anti-holomorphic automorphism $\mu : \check{M} \to \check{M}$ of order 2 without any fixed points. Then $M = \check{M}/\{p \sim \mu(p)\}$ is a non-orientable surface with the natural $2:1$ covering $\pi : \check{M} \to M$. Let $y : M \to S^{n+2}$ be a Willmore immersion. The immersion y has a natural lift $\check{y} : \check{M} \to S^{n+2}$ satisfying $\check{y}(p) = y(\pi(p))$ for any $p \in \check{M}$. Let $\check{f} : \check{M} \to S^{n+2}$ denote the conformal Gauss map of $\check{y}$. Then we obtain $\mu^* \check{f} = \check{f}^\sharp$, since μ reverses the orientation.

As a consequence, the Willmore immersion $\check{y}$, together with its conformal Gauss map, inherits a natural symmetry

$$\check{y}(\mu(p)) = \check{y}(p), \quad \check{f}(\mu(p)) = \check{f}(p)^\sharp. \tag{3.1}$$

Conversely, any Willmore immersion having such a symmetry can be factored through a non-orientable Willmore surface.

How can one construct Willmore immersions from non-orientable surfaces to S^{n+2} ? From what was said above, in the case $\check{M} = S^2$ we have $\check{M} = \tilde{M} = S^2$ and only need to consider one specific μ. We will consider this case in the next subsection in more detail separately.

In general, let's consider a Willmore surface $\check{y} : \check{M} \to S^{n+2}$, then in [4] we have constructed the conformal Gauss map $\check{f}$, an extended frame $\check{F}$, a holomorphic/meromorphic frame $\check{C}$ and the potential $\check{\eta} = C^{-1}dC$. We also have normalized $\check{F}$ and $\check{C}$ so that they attain the value I at some base point. In [5] we have stated the transformation behavior of $\check{f}$, $\check{F}$, $\check{C}$ and $\check{\eta}$ under the action of $\Gamma = \pi_1(\check{M})$ on the universal cover $\tilde{M}$ of $\check{M}$.

Since μ acts as a symmetry on $\check{M}$, its action on $\tilde{M}$ induces transformation rules for $\check{f}$, $\check{F}$, $\check{C}$ and $\check{\eta}$. One should note that while the action of μ on $\check{y}$ is actually trivial, its action on the associated family of $\check{M}$ is in general non-trivial. This way one obtains an action of the fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$ of M on $\tilde{M}$.

Conversely, one can construct Willmore surfaces from non-orientable surfaces to S^{n+2} by reversing what the procedure outlined above. More precisely, one can construct what we want in two steps:

In the first step we consider $\Gamma = \pi_1(\check{M})$, the subgroup of holomorphic transformations of $\pi_1(M)$ on $\mathbb{D}$. Then $\pi_1(M) = \Gamma \cup \mu\Gamma$, where μ can be considered as a fixed point free anti-holomorphic transformation on $\mathbb{D}$ satisfying $\mu^2 \in \Gamma$. Let's consider a potential which generates a Willmore immersion $\check{y} : \check{M} \to S^{n+2}$. We have seen in [5] that for the construction of a Willmore immersion $\check{y} : \check{M} \to S^{n+2}$ we could start from an invariant potential η on $\mathbb{D}$. Then the solution C to $dC = C\eta$ with $C(0,\lambda) = I$ satisfies $g^*C = \chi(g,\lambda)C$ for all $g \in \Gamma$. We need to make sure that $\chi(g,\lambda) \in \Lambda SO^+(1,n+3)^0_\sigma$. Moreover we need to make sure that $\chi(g,\lambda=1) = I$ for all $g \in \Gamma$. Then an Iwasawa splitting $C = FL_+$ with $F(0,\lambda) = I$ yields a conformally harmonic map f. As discussed in [4], we also need to make sure that the projection to S^{n+2} actually produces a family $\check{y}_\lambda$ of (possibly) branched immersions. Then $\check{y} = \check{y}|_{\lambda=1}$ is the sought

for Willmore immersion from $\check{M}$ to S^{n+2}. This finishes step 1. So far we have constructed a Willmore surface $\check{y} : \check{M} \to S^{n+2}$.

In step 2 we incorporate the action of μ. In general this works as follows: The potential η needs to satisfy the relation

$$\mu^*\eta = \check{P}^{-1}\overline{\eta(z,\lambda^{-1})}\check{P}\sharp\widetilde{W}_+, \tag{3.2}$$

for $\check{P} = P_2 \in S(O^+(1,3) \times O(n))$ and $\widetilde{W}_+ \in \Lambda^+ SO^+(1, n+3, \mathbb{C})_\sigma$, where "$\sharp\widetilde{W}_+$" means gauging by $\tilde{W}_+$. From this one obtains

$$\mu^* C(z,\lambda) = \chi(\lambda)\overline{C(z,\lambda^{-1})}\check{P} \cdot \widetilde{W}_+(\bar{z},\lambda). \tag{3.3}$$

and also

$$\mu^* F(z,\lambda) = \chi(\lambda)F(z,\lambda^{-1})\check{P} \cdot k(z,\bar{z}). \tag{3.4}$$

Then two more conditions need to be satisfied: on the one hand we need to require $\chi(\lambda) \in \Lambda SO^+(1,n+3)^0_\sigma$ and on the other hand we need $\chi(\lambda = 1) = I$.

Altogether we obtain this way an orientation reversing automorphism of $\check{y}$ which is the identity automorphism for $\lambda = 1$ and therefore produces a Willmore surface $y : M \to S^{n+2}$.

Altogether we obtain as an application of Theorem 2.5

Theorem 3.1. *We start from some $\pi_1(\check{M})$ invariant normalized potential η satisfying* (3.2) *for some $\widetilde{W}_+$, then the solution to the ode $dC = C\eta$, $C(0,\lambda) = I$ satisfies* (3.3)*. Assume that $\chi(\lambda) \in \Lambda SO^+(1,n+3)_\sigma$ and $\chi(\lambda)|_{\lambda=1} = I$. Then we obtain a harmonic map $\check{f}$ defined on $\check{M}$. Moreover, the corresponding frame $\check{F}$, obtained from C by the unique Iwasawa splitting, also satisfies* (3.4)*. From this we obtain*

$$\mu^*\check{f}(z,\bar{z},\lambda) = \chi(\lambda)\check{f}(z,\bar{z},\lambda^{-1})^\sharp \tag{3.5}$$

and, if $\check{f}$ induces a unique Willmore immersion $\check{y}$, then we also have

$$\mu^*\check{y}(z,\bar{z},\lambda) = \chi(\lambda)\check{y}(z,\bar{z},\lambda^{-1}) = [\chi(\lambda)\check{Y}(z,\bar{z},\lambda^{-1})]. \tag{3.6}$$

If $\check{f}$ induces a pair of dual Willmore immersions $\check{y}$ and $\widehat{\check{y}}$, then we have either

$$\mu^*\check{y}(z,\bar{z},\lambda) = \chi(\lambda)\check{y}(z,\bar{z},\lambda^{-1}) = [\chi(\lambda)\check{Y}(z,\bar{z},\lambda^{-1})], \tag{3.7}$$

or

$$\mu^*\widehat{\check{y}}(z,\bar{z},\lambda) = \chi(\lambda)\check{y}(z,\bar{z},\lambda^{-1}) = [\chi(\lambda)\check{Y}(z,\bar{z},\lambda^{-1})]. \tag{3.8}$$

All these together show that μ leaves $\check{y}(z,\bar{z},\lambda = 1)$ invariant and therefore and one obtains a non-orientable Willmore surface $y = \check{y}(p) = \check{y}(\mu(p))$ on $M = \check{M}/\{p \sim \pi(p)\}$. □

In the theorem above we start from a potential satisfying (3.2). This involves the matrix $\widetilde{W}_+$ and hence it is a complicated condition the potential needs to satisfy. In the case of orientable Willmore surfaces we have shown that one can avoid a term like $\widetilde{W}_+$ by the right choice of potential. For non-orientable Willmore surfaces this is not the case. We present this result only for S^2, but expect that such a result also holds generally.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $y : S^2 \to S^{n+2}$ be a Willmore immersion satisfying $\mu^* y = y$ for $\mu(z) = -\frac{1}{\bar{z}}$. Then there exists no potential η for y such that $\mu^*\eta = P\overline{\eta(z,\lambda^{-1})}P^{-1}$ holds for some $P \in SO^+(1,3) \times SO(n)$.*

Proof. We can assume that the extended frame of y and the solution C to $dC = C\eta$ all attain the value I at the base point $z_0 = 0$. Let η_- denote the normalized potential associated with y and $z_0 = 0$. then the meromorphic extended frame C_- associated with η_- and C are in the relation

$$C = C_- \cdot h_+, \quad \text{with } h_+ : S^2 \to \Lambda^+ G^{\mathbb{C}}_\sigma.$$

Then we obtain

$$\mu^* C = \chi(\lambda)\overline{C(z,\lambda^{-1})} = \chi(\lambda)\overline{C(z,\lambda^{-1})h_+(z,\lambda^{-1})} = \chi(\lambda)\overline{C_-(z,\lambda^{-1})}W_+(\bar z,\lambda)\mu^* h_+(z,\lambda),$$

with

$$W_+(\bar z,\lambda) = \overline{h_+(z,\lambda^{-1})} \cdot \mu^* h_+(z,\lambda)^{-1}.$$

This can be rewritten in the form

$$W_+(\bar z,\lambda) = A_+(\bar z,\lambda)h_+(-\frac{1}{\bar z},\lambda). \tag{3.9}$$

Note, by our assumptions C and C_- are finite at $z_0 = 0$, whence also h_+ and A_+ are finite at $z_0 = 0$. On the other hand, $W_+(\bar z,\lambda)$ is not necessarily finite at $z_0 = 0$. We observe that (3.9) represents a Birkhoff decomposition of $W_+(\bar z,\lambda)$, considered as a function of $\bar z$ with parameter λ. In particular, W_+ is in the big Birkhoff cell relative to $\bar z$. Since W_+, A_+ and h_+ all permit the continuous limit $\lambda \to 0$,

$$W_0(\bar z) = A_0(\bar z)h_0(-\frac{1}{\bar z}). \tag{3.10}$$

On the other hand, the equation

$$\mu^* C_-(\bar z,\lambda) = \chi(\lambda)\overline{C_-(z,\lambda^{-1})}W_+(\bar z,\lambda). \tag{3.11}$$

can be read as

$$W_+(\bar z,\lambda) = \left(\chi(\lambda)\overline{C_-(z,\lambda^{-1})}\right)^{-1} C_-(-\frac{1}{\bar z},\lambda). \tag{3.12}$$

Obviously, also this product represents a Birkhoff decomposition of W_+ considered as a function of $\bar z$ with parameter λ. Since the limit $\lambda \to 0$ exists for W_+ we have two possibilities:

(a). The limit stays in the big Birkhoff cell relative to $\bar z$. In this case the factors need to converge separately. But C_- has no limit $\lambda \to 0$ since $C_-(\lambda)$ is at least a polynomial in λ^{-1}.

(b). The limit does not stay in the big Birkhoff cell relative to $\bar z$. Then $W_0 = \mathcal{U}^+\delta\mathcal{V}^{-l}$ with $\mathcal{U}^+$ anti-holomorphic at $z = 0$, $\mathcal{V}^-$ anti-holomorphic at $z = \infty$ and $\delta = \delta(z) \neq I$ (a Weyl group element). This contradicts (3.10) and proves the claim. □

Remark 3.3. From the above it is clear that the construction of non-orientable Willmore surfaces is more complicated than the construction of orientable ones. Indeed, we have found only a few papers dealing with this issue. Below we will give some examples for Willmore surfaces of type $\mathbb{R}P^2$. Similarly one can give examples of Willmore surfaces of type $\mathbb{C}/\Gamma$ and $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma$, where $\Gamma = \{I, \mu\}$ and μ is the unique anti-holomorphic automorphism without fixed point.

4 Isotropic Willmore immersions from $\mathbb{R}P^2$ into S^4

Let us now consider the case $\tilde M = \check M = S^2$. We still assume that the group of deck transformations corresponding to $\pi_1(M)$ contains an anti-holomorphic transformation which, since it is fixed point free, automatically of order 2. By Corollary 2.15 we know that this means

that we consider the case $M = \mathbb{R}P^2$ and that we only need to deal with the anti-holomorphic automorphism

$$\mu(z) = -\frac{1}{\bar{z}}. \tag{4.1}$$

of $\tilde{M} = S^2$.

To illustrate the theory presented in Section 2 and to find new examples of (possibly branched) Willmore immersions of $\mathbb{R}P^2$ into S^{n+2}, we consider first all isotropic Willmore two spheres in S^4 and then single out all those among them which descend to Willmore surfaces from $\mathbb{R}P^2$ to S^4.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $y : S^2 \to S^4$ be an isotropic Willmore surface. Assume that y has the symmetry $\mu^* y = y$. Let*

$$\eta = \lambda^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \hat{B}_1 \\ -\hat{B}_1 I_{1,3} & 0 \end{pmatrix} dz$$

with

$$\hat{B}_1 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} i(f_3' - f_2') & -(f_3' - f_2') \\ i(f_3' + f_2') & -(f_3' + f_2') \\ f_4' - f_1' & i(f_4' - f_1') \\ i(f_4' + f_1') & -(f_4' + f_1') \end{pmatrix}, \quad f_1' f_4' + f_2' f_3' = 0. \tag{4.2}$$

be the normalized potential of y. Here f_j, $1 \le j \le 4$, are meromorphic functions on S^2. Then the following equations hold

$$\begin{cases} f_1(z) + (f_1(z)f_4(z) + f_2(z)f_3(z))\overline{f_4(\mu(z))} = 0, \\ f_2(z) + (f_1(z)f_4(z) + f_2(z)f_3(z))\overline{f_2(\mu(z))} = 0, \\ f_3(z) + (f_1(z)f_4(z) + f_2(z)f_3(z))\overline{f_3(\mu(z))} = 0, \\ f_4(z) + (f_1(z)f_4(z) + f_2(z)f_3(z))\overline{f_1(\mu(z))} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

Conversely, if (4.3) holds, then either $\mu^* y = y$ or $\mu^* y = \hat{y}$, where $\hat{y}$ is the dual surface of y.

We will leave the proof to the appendix and illustrate the theorem by the following examples.

A class of special solutions to (4.3) is the following

Lemma 4.2. *Let*

$$f_1 = -2mz^{2m+1}, \ f_2 = f_3 = i\sqrt{4m^2 - 1}z^{2m}, \ f_4 = -2mz^{2m-1}, \tag{4.4}$$

with $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then $\{f_j, j = 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ satisfies (4.3).

Using this lemma, it is easy to write down infinitely many potentials which will lead to Willmore immersions from $\mathbb{R}P^2$ into S^4, including the well-known Veronese sphere in S^4. Moreover, from [14] one can see that the corresponding Willmore surfaces are conformally equivalent to some minimal surfaces in S^4.

Example 4.3. Veronese spheres in S^4: It is well known (see for example Example 5.21 of [4]) that the map $y : S^2 \to S^4$, given by the formula

$$y = \left(\frac{2r^2 - (1 - r^2)^2}{(1 + r^2)^2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}(z + \bar{z})(1 - r^2)}{(1 + r^2)^2}, \frac{-i\sqrt{3}(z - \bar{z})(1 - r^2)}{(1 + r^2)^2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}(z^2 + \bar{z}^2)}{(1 + r^2)^2}, \frac{-i\sqrt{3}(z^2 - \bar{z}^2)}{(1 + r^2)^2} \right), \tag{4.5}$$

defines a Willmore immersion into S^2 which is invariant under the action of μ above.

It is also known ([4], [13]) that the associated family of y is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Y(z,\bar{z},\lambda) =& \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1+r^2}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{2r^2-(1-r^2)^2}{\sqrt{3}(1+r^2)}, \frac{(z+\bar{z})(1-r^2)}{1+r^2}, \frac{-i(z-\bar{z})(1-r^2)}{1+r^2},\right. \\ & \left. \frac{\lambda^{-1}z^2+\lambda\bar{z}^2}{1+r^2}, \frac{-i(\lambda^{-1}z^2-\lambda\bar{z}^2)}{1+r^2}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

From this formula it is easy to verify that y actually is an "S^1-invariant" finite uniton type immersion, since one observes

$$Y(z,\bar{z},\lambda) = D_\lambda Y(z,\lambda=1), \tag{4.7}$$

where

$$D_\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} I_4 & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{D}_\lambda \end{pmatrix},\ \tilde{D}_\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\lambda^{-1}+\lambda}{2} & \frac{i(\lambda^{-1}-\lambda)}{2} \\ \frac{-i(\lambda^{-1}-\lambda)}{2} & \frac{\lambda^{-1}+\lambda}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

One can check easily that

$$\mu^* Y(z,\bar{z},\lambda) = \frac{1}{r^2}Y(z,\bar{z},\lambda) = \frac{1}{r^2}D_\lambda^2 \cdot Y(z,\bar{z},\lambda^{-1}).$$

Set $m=1$, we obtain $f_1=-2z^3,\ f_2=f_3=i\sqrt{3}z^2,\ f_4=-2z$. By Formula (2.7) of [5] (see also [13]), the corresponding Willmore surfaces form exactly the associate family of the Veronese sphere with

$$Area(y) = W(y) + 4\pi = 12\pi.$$

Example 4.4. Set $m=2$. We have $f_1=-4z^5,\ f_2=f_3=i\sqrt{15}z^4,\ f_4=-4z^3$. So its normalized potential is of the form

$$\hat{B}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -4\sqrt{15}z^3 & -4i\sqrt{15}z^3 \\ -2z^2(3-5z^2) & -2iz^2(3-5z^2) \\ -2iz^2(3+5z^2) & 2z^2(3+5z^2) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let y be the corresponding Willmore surface. By formula (2.7) of [5] (see also [13]), the associated family of y is given by

$$Y(z,\bar{z},\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} (3r^4-4r^2+3)(1+r^2)^2 \\ -(3r^8-8r^6+8r^4-8r^2+3) \\ \sqrt{15}(z+\bar{z})(1-r^2)(1+r^4) \\ -i\sqrt{15}(z-\bar{z})(1-r^2)(1+r^4) \\ \sqrt{15}(\lambda^{-1}z^4+\lambda\bar{z}^4) \\ i\sqrt{15}(\lambda^{-1}z^4-\lambda\bar{z}^4) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \\ y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \\ y_4 \\ y_5 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.8}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Y_z, Y_{\bar{z}}\rangle &= 30(1-4r^2+10r^4-4r^6+r^8)(1+r^2)^2 \\ &= 30\left(r^4(r^2-2)^2+2r^4+(2r^2-1)^2\right)(1+r^2)^2 \end{aligned}$$

showing that Y is an immersion at $z\in\mathbb{C}$. Together with $\mu^*Y = \frac{1}{r^8}Y$ for $\mu(z)=-\frac{1}{\bar{z}}$ and $z\in\mathbb{C}$, we see y is a Willmore immersion in S^4 defined on $\mathbb{R}P^2$. Moreover,

$$y = \frac{1}{y_0}(y_1,y_2,y_3,y_4,y_5)^t$$

is in fact a minimal immersion from $\mathbb{R}P^2$ to S^4 (without branch points, see [14]) , with induced metric

$$|y_z|^2 = \frac{30(1-4r^2+10r^4-4r^6+r^8)}{(3r^4-4r^2+3)^2(1+r^2)^2}$$

and

$$Area(y) = W(y) + 4\pi = 20\pi.$$

Example 4.5. Set $m = 3$. We have $f_1 = -6z^7,\ f_2 = f_3 = i\sqrt{35}z^6,\ f_4 = -6z^5$. So its normalized potential is of the form

$$\hat{B}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -6\sqrt{35}z^5 & -6i\sqrt{35}z^5 \\ -3z^4(5-7z^2) & -3iz^2(5-7z^2) \\ -3iz^4(5+7z^2) & 3z^2(5+7z^2) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let y be the corresponding Willmore surface. By formula (2.7) of [5] (see also [13]), the associated family of y is given by

$$Y(z,\bar{z},\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 5+7r^2+7r^{12}+5r^{14} \\ -5+7r^2+7r^{12}-5r^{14} \\ \sqrt{35}(z+\bar{z})(1-r^{12}) \\ -i\sqrt{35}(z-\bar{z})(1-r^{12}) \\ \sqrt{35}(\lambda^{-1}z^6+\lambda\bar{z}^6)(1+r^2) \\ i\sqrt{35}(\lambda^{-1}z^6-\lambda\bar{z}^6)(1+r^2) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \\ y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \\ y_4 \\ y_5 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.9}$$

We have

$$\langle Y_z, Y_{\bar{z}} \rangle = 70\left((1-r^{12})^2 + 36r^{10}(1+r^2)^2\right) = 70(1+36r^{10}+70r^{12}+36r^{14}+r^{24})$$

showing that Y is an immersion at $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Together with $\mu^* Y = \frac{1}{r^{14}} Y$ for $\mu(z) = -\frac{1}{\bar{z}}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we see y is a Willmore immersion in S^4 defined on $\mathbb{R}P^2$. Moreover,

$$y = \frac{1}{y_0}\left(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5\right)^t$$

is in fact a minimal immersion from $\mathbb{R}P^2$ to S^4 (without branch points, see [14]), with induced metric

$$|y_z|^2 = \frac{70\left(1+36r^{10}+70r^{12}+36r^{14}+r^{24}\right)}{y_0^2},$$

and

$$Area(y) = W(y) + 4\pi = 28\pi.$$

Remark 4.6. In [8], using the famous formula of Bryant [1] for isotropic minimal surfaces in S^4, Ishihara provided a description of non-orientable, isotropic harmonic maps into S^4. It is difficult to compute an immersion explicitly following his approach. Therefore, to the authors' best knowledge, our examples above are the first explicitly known non-orientable minimal immersions from $\mathbb{R}P^2$ into S^4, except the well-known Veronese spheres. Actually, one can produce many more examples using Lemma 4.2, Theorem 4.1 and formula (2.7) of [5] (see also [13]).

Remark 4.7. It is straightforward to see that the above examples are all equivariant in the sense of formula (3.1) of [7]. Moreover, the Veronese sphere is homogeneous and the other two are not homogeneous.

5 Equivariant Willmore surfaces from the doubly infinite Moebius strip and the Klein bottle into spheres

5.1 Equivariant Willmore surfaces of Moebius strips

In this subsection we show how one can construct Willmore surfaces from Moebius strips to S^{n+2}. More precisely, we show that under certain restrictions actually certain equivariant Willmore surfaces descend to Willmore surfaces from a doubly infinite Moebius strip into S^{n+2}.

(1) We recall from [4], [6], that one obtains equivariant Willmore immersions from potentials of the form

$$\eta = Ddz, \text{ where } D = \lambda^{-1}D_{-1} + D_0 + \lambda D_1 \text{ and where } D_1 = \overline{D_{-1}}. \tag{5.1}$$

(2) More precisely, we also require that D has the form of a Maurer-Cartan form of a conformally harmonic map as stated in [4], Proposition 2.2 , and we want the criterium $a_{13}+a_{23} \neq 0$, stated in [4], Theorem 3.10, to be satisfied which makes sure that there is a (possibly branched) immersion associated with D. In this case the (branched) immersion is a (branched) Willmore immersion.

(3) For the result of [4], Theorem 3.10 just quoted, to apply we need to verify in the first place that the potential $\eta = Ddz$ actually induces a (possibly branched) immersion into $SO^+(1, n + 3)/SO^+(1, 3) \times SO(n)$.

At any rate, following the construction principle outlined in [4] we start by solving the ode $dC = C\eta, C(0, \lambda) = I$, for all $\lambda \in S^1$. So let' s consider for $z \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$C(z, \lambda) = \exp zD. \tag{5.2}$$

Also consider the transformation

$$\mu(z) = \bar{z} + c_0, \tag{5.3}$$

where $c_0 \neq 0$ is a real constant. The group Γ generated by μ satisfies

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_0 \cup \mu\Gamma_0 \text{ where } \Gamma_0 = \{z \to z + 2nc_0\}, \ n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{5.4}$$

Since no element of Γ has any fixed point, except the identity transformation, $M = \Gamma/\mathbb{C}$ is a smooth real surface. Moreover, we have

$$\mu^* C(z, \lambda) = \exp(c_0 D(\lambda))C(\bar{z}, \lambda). \tag{5.5}$$

From here on we will try to determine the conditions on D and μ to yield a Willmore immersion from a Moebius strip to a sphere.

In this spirit we would like μ to leave invariant the Willmore surface y determined by D and therefore we want the monodromy $\chi(\lambda)$ of μ to have the initial condition I for $\lambda = 1$. Thus in Theorem 2.2 the case $m = 2$ applies. Therefore, according to formula (2.20) we have:

$$\mu^* C(z, \lambda) = \chi(\lambda) \cdot \overline{C(z, \lambda^{-1})} \cdot W_+(\bar{z}, \lambda), \tag{5.6}$$

with $\chi(\lambda) \in \Lambda SO^+(1, n+3)_\sigma$ and $\chi(\lambda = 1) = \check{P} = \text{diag}\{1, 1, 1, -1, -1, 1, \cdots, 1\}$, since μ reverses the orientation as we assumed. Writing out equation (5.6) we obtain the equation

$$\exp(c_0 D(\lambda)) \cdot \exp(\bar{z} D(\lambda)) = \chi(\lambda) \cdot \exp(\bar{z} D(\lambda^{-1}) \cdot W_+(\bar{z}, \lambda). \tag{5.7}$$

Since equation (5.7) is a function of $\bar{z}$ only, we can therefore restrict to the real axis $z = x + i0$ and keep in mind that in the end we need to replace the real variable x by the anti-holomorphic

variable $\bar{z}$. Since χ is assumed to be real, equation (5.7) implies that also $W_+(x,\lambda)$ is real. As a consequence,

$$W_+(x,\lambda) = W_0(x) \in SO^+(1,n+3) \cap K^{\mathbb{C}}. \tag{5.8}$$

Next, setting $z = 0$ in (5.7) we obtain

$$\chi(\lambda) = \exp(c_0 D(\lambda)) \cdot W_0(0)^{-1}. \tag{5.9}$$

Substituting this into (5.7) we obtain

$$\exp(xD(\lambda)) = W_0(0)^{-1} \cdot \exp(xD(\lambda^{-1}) \cdot W_0(x). \tag{5.10}$$

Here $W_0(x)$ needs to be in $SO^+(1,n+3)$, but will generally not be in $K = SO^+(1,3) \times SO(n)$. Clearly, equation (5.10) is equivalent with

$$W_0(x) = \exp(-xD(\lambda^{-1}) \cdot W_0(0) \cdot \exp(xD(\lambda)) \tag{5.11}$$

as well as the equation $\chi(\lambda = 1) = I$ which is equivalent with

$$W_0(0) = \exp D(\lambda = 1). \tag{5.12}$$

Altogether we obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 5.1. *Let*

$$\eta = Ddz, \;\; \textit{with} \;\; D = \lambda^{-1}D_{-1} + D_0 + \lambda D_1 \;\; \textit{and} \;\; D_1 = \overline{D_{-1}}. \tag{5.13}$$

be a holomorphic equivariant potential on $\mathbb{C}$, with D constant in z and satisfying all the conditions stated in [4], Proposition 2.2, for inducing a branched Willmore immersion from $\mathbb{C}$ into S^{n+2}. Consider the transformation $\mu = \bar{z} + c_0$ on $\mathbb{C}$. Assuming that μ induces (an orientation reversing) symmetry of the Willmore surface y associated with $\eta = Ddz$, then

$$\exp(c_0 D(\lambda)) \cdot \exp(\bar{z} D(\lambda)) = \chi(\lambda) \cdot \exp(\bar{z} D(\lambda^{-1}) \cdot W_+(\bar{z},\lambda) \tag{5.14}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and

$$W_+(\bar{z},\lambda) = W_+(\bar{z},\lambda = 1) = W_0(\bar{z}), \tag{5.15}$$

with $W_0(\bar{z}) \in SO^+(1,n+3,\mathbb{C}) \cap K^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $W_0(x) \in SO^+(1,n+3)$. Moreover,

$$\chi(\lambda) = \exp(c_0 D(\lambda)) \cdot W_0(0)^{-1}. \tag{5.16}$$

Conversely, if we have some potential $\eta = Ddz$ which satisfies (5.14) with $W_+(\bar{z},\lambda) = W_0(\bar{z})$, where $W_0(x) \in SO^+(1,n+3)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then either the Willmore surface y_λ associated with η admits the transformation $(\mu,\chi(\lambda))$ as a symmetry, or y and its dual surface $\hat{y}$ have the symmetry $(\mu,\chi(\lambda))$. Moreover, if y has the symmetry $(\mu,\chi(\lambda))$, the transformation μ leaves y invariant if and only if

$$\exp(z_0 D(\lambda = 1)) = W_0(0). \tag{5.17}$$

Remark 5.2. To find solutions to the equations (5.14) , (5.15), and (5.16) in full generality is an interesting question.

5.2 A Willmore Moebius strip in S^3

In formula (7.1) of [9] families of minimal tori and minimal Klein bottles in S^3 were given by concrete expressions (also see Theorem 3 of [9] for more information).

Here we use one of these simplest examples to construct a Willmore Moebius strip in S^3, illustrating the theory presented in the subsection above.

We consider the minimal immersion $y : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to S^3$ given by ([9]):

$$y(u,v) = (\cos(2u)\cos(\hat{v}(v)), \sin(2u)\cos(\hat{v}(v)), \cos(u)\sin(\hat{v}(v)), \sin(u)\sin(\hat{v}(v))). \tag{5.18}$$

Note that $(u, \hat{v})$ is not an isothermic coordinate of y, but if we set

$$e^{\omega} = \sqrt{1+3\cos^2\hat{v}}, \quad v = \int_0^{\hat{v}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+3\cos^2\hat{w}}} d\hat{w}, \; v_0 = \int_0^{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+3\cos^2\hat{w}}} d\hat{w} \tag{5.19}$$

we have that $z = u + iv$ is a complex coordinate of y with

$$\hat{v}(-v) = -\hat{v}(\hat{v}), \; \hat{v}(0) = 0, \; \hat{v}(v + 2v_0) = \hat{v} + 2\pi, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d\hat{v}}{dv} = e^{\omega}.$$

This immersion has several symmetries:

(1) The immersion is equivariant relative to the variable u ([2], [6]):

$$y(u+t, v) = R(t)y(u,v) \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{5.20}$$

Here R rotates the first two coordinates by an angle of $2t$ and the last two coordinates by the angle t.

(2) The immersion is invariant under the transformations T_1 and T_2:

$$(u,v) \to (u + 2\pi, v) \;\text{ and }\; (u,v) \to (u, v + 2v_0). \tag{5.21}$$

(3) The immersion is invariant under the transformation μ:

$$(u,v) \to (u + \pi, -v). \tag{5.22}$$

Using these transformations one can define several natural quotients of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$.

First we mention the cylinders

$$M_1 = (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})/2\pi\mathbb{Z} \times 0,$$

$$M_2 = (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})/0 \times v_0\mathbb{Z},$$

and the torus

$$\mathbb{T} = (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})2\pi\mathbb{Z} \times v_0\mathbb{Z}.$$

(4) Taking the quotient of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ by μ we obtain the doubly infinite Moebius strip

$$\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}/\Gamma,$$

where $\Gamma = \Gamma_0 \cup \mu\Gamma_0$ and $\Gamma_0 = \mathbb{Z}T_1$.

(5) Finally, taking the quotient of $\mathbb{C}$ by the group Ξ generated by T_1, T_2 and μ we obtain, as is well known, a Klein bottle $\mathbb{K}$:

$$\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}/\Xi.$$

Illustrating what was said in the last subsection, we consider the case (4).

Now let us take a look at the potential of $y_{\mathbb{M}}$, equivalently at the potential for y. First we have

$$y_u = (-2\cos\hat{v}\sin 2u, 2\cos\hat{v}\cos 2u, -\sin\hat{v}\sin u, \sin\hat{v}\cos u)\,,$$
$$y_v = e^{\omega}\,(-\sin\hat{v}\cos 2u, -\sin\hat{v}\sin 2u, \cos\hat{v}\cos u, \cos\hat{v}\sin u)\,,$$

and

$$|y_u|^2 = |y_v|^2 = 4\cos^2\hat{v} + \sin^2\hat{v} = e^{2\omega}.$$

We recall that $y(u,v))$ is equivariant relative to $z \to z+t$, with $z = u+iv$. It is also invariant under $z \to z + i2v_0$, $z \to z + 2\pi$ and $z \to \bar{z} + \pi$. We note that this implies that the frame F formed as in [4], Proposition 2.2, satisfies $\gamma^* F = RFk$ if the transformation γ preserves the orientation. But for a translation (4.7) of [4] shows $k \equiv I$.

Moreover, for the continuous transformation $\gamma_t(z) = z + t$ the transformation R is a one-parameter group $R = R_t = \exp(tL_\lambda)$. If we normalize F to $\hat{F}(z,\lambda) = F(0,\lambda)^{-1}F(z,\lambda)$, then $\hat{F}$ satisfies the assumptions of [2] and the matrix $D_\lambda = F(0,\lambda)^{-1}L_\lambda F(0,\lambda)$ generates the Willmore immersion y. Note that $\hat{F}$ has the same Maurer-Cartan form as F, but satisfies a different initial condition at $z = 0$. Hence $\hat{F}$ yields an associated family of Willmore surfaces which is conformally equivalent to he one of y. So without loss of generality, to derive a holomorphic potential for y, we only need to compute L_λ:

Set

$$n = e^{-\omega}\,(\sin\hat{v}\sin 2u, -\sin\hat{v}\cos 2u, -2\cos\hat{v}\sin u, 2\cos\hat{v}\cos u)\,.$$

We see that $\langle n, n\rangle = 1,\ \langle n, y\rangle = \langle n, y_u\rangle = \langle n, y_v\rangle = 0,\ \det(y, y_u, y_v, n) > 0$. Next we compute

$$y_{uu} = -\,(4\cos\hat{v}\cos 2u, 4\cos\hat{v}\sin 2u, \sin\hat{v}\cos u, \sin\hat{v}\sin u)\,,$$
$$y_{uv} = e^{\omega}\,(2\sin\hat{v}\sin 2u, -2\sin\hat{v}\cos 2u, -\cos\hat{v}\sin u, \cos\hat{v}\cos u)\,,$$
$$y_{vv} = e^{\omega}\omega_v y_v - e^{2\omega}\,(\cos\hat{v}\cos 2u, \cos\hat{v}\sin 2u, \sin\hat{v}\cos u, \sin\hat{v}\sin u)\,.$$

So $\langle y_{uu}, n\rangle = \langle y_{vv}, n\rangle = 0,\ \langle y_{uv}, n\rangle = 2$. Then we obtain

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lcl} y_{zz} &=& 2\omega_z y_z + \Omega n, \\ y_{z\bar{z}} &=& -\frac{1}{2}e^{2\omega}y, \\ n_z &=& -2e^{-2\omega}\Omega y_{\bar{z}}, \end{array}\right. \tag{5.23}$$

with $\Omega = -\frac{i}{2}\langle y_{uv}, n\rangle = -i$. Set $Y = e^{-\omega}(1, y)$. We have

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lcl} Y_{zz} &=& -\frac{s}{2}Y + k\psi, \\ Y_{z\bar{z}} &=& -|k|^2 Y + \frac{1}{2}N, \\ N_z &=& -2|k|^2 Y_z - s y_{\bar{z}} + 2k_{\bar{z}}\psi, \\ \psi_z &=& 2k_{\bar{z}}Y - 2kY_{\bar{z}}, \end{array}\right. \tag{5.24}$$

with

$$s = 2(\omega_{zz} - \omega_z^2), \quad k = e^{-\omega}\Omega = -ie^{-\omega}, \quad k_{\bar{z}} = ie^{-\omega}\omega_{\bar{z}}. \tag{5.25}$$

Since $2e^{2\omega}\omega_z = 3i\cos\hat{v}\sin\hat{v}e^{\omega}$, we obtain

$$\omega|_{z=0} = \ln 2,\ \omega_z|_{z=0} = 0,\ \omega_{zz}|_{z=0} = \frac{3}{4},\ s(0) = \frac{3}{2},\ k(0) = -\frac{i}{2},\ \text{ and }\ k_{\bar{z}}(0) = 0.$$

As a consequence, we obtain for the frame F formed as in [4], Proposition 2.2

$$\alpha(0,\lambda) = \alpha(0,\lambda)'dz + \alpha(0,\lambda)''d\bar{z}$$

with

$$\alpha(0,\lambda)' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} & -\frac{i\sqrt{2}}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4} & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} & -\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4} & 0 & 0 & \frac{i\lambda^{-1}}{2} \\ -\frac{i\sqrt{2}}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\lambda^{-1}}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{i\lambda^{-1}}{2} & \frac{\lambda^{-1}}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$L_\lambda = \alpha(0,\lambda)' + \alpha(0,\lambda)'' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & -\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{i(\lambda^{-1}-\lambda)}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\lambda^{-1}+\lambda}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{i(\lambda^{-1}-\lambda)}{2} & \frac{\lambda^{-1}+\lambda}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By (28) of [6] (compare also [2]), we obtain that

$$\hat{\eta} = L_\lambda dz \tag{5.26}$$

is a holomorphic potential generating a Willmore surface conformally equivalent to y, as explained above. The eigenvalues of $L_{\lambda=1}$ are 0, $\pm 2i$ and $\pm i$. Note that the matrix $D_\lambda = F(0,\lambda)^{-1} L_\lambda F(0,\lambda)$ has the same eigenvalues as L_λ.

By formula (5.10) we have for the transformation $\mu(z) = \bar{z} - \pi$ the relation

$$\chi_\mu(\lambda) = exp(\pi D_\lambda) W_0(0)^{-1}.$$

Since $\chi_\mu(\lambda = 1) = \mathrm{diag}(1, 1, 1-1, -1)$ and $exp(\pi \mathbb{D}_{\lambda=1}) = \mathrm{diag}(1, 1, 1-1, -1)$ we obtain in this case $W_0(0) = I$ and $\chi_\mu(\lambda) = exp(\pi \mathbb{D}_\lambda)$.

This yields for our Willmore Moebius strip $y_{\mathbb{M}} :\to S^3$, derived as in (4) above, concrete expressions for the matrices occurring in the last section.

Remark 5.3. In particular, by what we have shown just above, it follows that the monodromy representation of the symmetry group of the associated family of the Klein bottle induced by y has an abelian image. We plan to continue the investigation of this example in in the context of a separate publication.

6 Appendix: Proof of Theorem 4.1

Proof. By Theorem 5.6 of [4], we see that the normalized potential of y is of the form stated in Theorem 4.1. Let f be the conformal Gauss map of y. So $\mu^* f = f^\sharp$. Let C be a solution to $dC = C\eta$, $C(0,\lambda) = I$. By Corollary 2.6, $\mu^* f = f^\sharp$ if and only if (2.25) holds, i.e.

$$(\overline{C(z,\lambda^{-1})})^{-1} \cdot M(\lambda)^{-1} \cdot \mu^* C(z,\lambda) \in \Lambda^+ SO^+(1, n+3, \mathbb{C})_\sigma.$$

Hence it suffices to show in this case that (2.25) is equivalent to (4.3).

First, by [13], let $F = C \cdot C_+$ be the extended frame of y, then

$$F(z, \bar{z}, \lambda) = D_\lambda F(z, \bar{z}, \lambda = 1) D_\lambda^{-1},$$

where

$$D_\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} I_4 & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{D}_\lambda \end{pmatrix}, \ \tilde{D}_\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\lambda^{-1}+\lambda}{2} & \frac{i(\lambda^{-1}-\lambda)}{2} \\ \frac{-i(\lambda^{-1}-\lambda)}{2} & \frac{\lambda^{-1}+\lambda}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

From this we infer that

$$\mu^* F(z,\bar{z},\lambda) = D_\lambda^2 F(z,\bar{z},\lambda^{-1}) P_2 k(z,\bar{z}),$$

for $P_2 = \mathrm{diag}(1,1,1,-1,1,-1)$ and $k(z,\bar{z}) \in SO^+(1,3) \times SO(2)$. Note that this equation comes from the fact that D_λ commutes with $k(z,\bar{z})$ and $D_\lambda P_2 = P_2 D_\lambda^{-1}$. Therefore we have $M(\lambda) = D_\lambda^2$.

To compute (2.25), it will be convenient to transform $C(z,\lambda)$ into an upper triangular matrix, which has been used in [11], [12] and [13]. Here we retain the notation of [13]. Let $F_-(z,\lambda) = \mathcal{P}(C)$ and $\chi(\lambda) = \mathcal{P}(M(\lambda))$. Then

$$F_-(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \lambda^{-1} f_1 & \lambda^{-1} f_2 & \lambda^{-1} f_3 & \lambda^{-1} f_4 & \lambda^{-2} g_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\lambda^{-1} f_4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -\lambda^{-1} f_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -\lambda^{-1} f_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\lambda^{-1} f_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\chi(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $g_3 = -f_1 f_4 - f_2 f_3$.

Moreover, we obtain

$$\chi(\lambda)^{-1} \mu^* F_- = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^2 & \lambda \hat{f}_1 & \lambda \hat{f}_2 & \lambda \hat{f}_3 & \lambda \hat{f}_4 & \hat{g}_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\lambda^{-1} \hat{f}_4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -\lambda^{-1} \hat{f}_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -\lambda^{-1} \hat{f}_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\lambda^{-1} \hat{f}_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda^{-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}(\overline{C(z,\lambda^{-1})}^{-1}) = \check{J}_8 \overline{F_-(\lambda^{-1})}^t \check{J}_8 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \bar{f}_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \bar{f}_3 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \bar{f}_2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \bar{f}_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-2} \bar{g}_3 & -\lambda^{-1} \bar{f}_4 & -\lambda^{-1} \bar{f}_2 & -\lambda^{-1} \bar{f}_3 & -\lambda^{-1} \bar{f}_1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here $\hat{f}_j = \mu^* f_j$, $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, and $\hat{g}_3 = \mu^* g_3$.

Finally we obtain that $\mathcal{P}(\overline{C(z,\lambda^{-1})}^{-1})\mathcal{P}(M(\lambda)^{-1})\mathcal{P}(\mu^* C)$ equals

$$\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \lambda^2 & \lambda\hat{f}_1 & \lambda\hat{f}_2 & \lambda\hat{f}_3 & \lambda\hat{f}_4 & \hat{g}_3 & 0 \\
0 & \lambda\bar{f}_1 & 1+\bar{f}_1\hat{f}_1 & \bar{f}_1\hat{f}_2 & \bar{f}_1\hat{f}_3 & \bar{f}_1\hat{f}_4 & \lambda^{-1}(\bar{f}_1\hat{g}_3 - \hat{f}_4) & 0 \\
0 & \lambda\bar{f}_3 & \bar{f}_3\hat{f}_1 & 1+\bar{f}_3\hat{f}_2 & \bar{f}_3\hat{f}_3 & \bar{f}_3\hat{f}_4 & \lambda^{-1}(\bar{f}_3\hat{g}_3 - \hat{f}_3) & 0 \\
0 & \lambda\bar{f}_2 & \bar{f}_2\hat{f}_1 & \bar{f}_2\hat{f}_2 & 1+\bar{f}_2\hat{f}_3 & \bar{f}_2\hat{f}_4 & \lambda^{-1}(\bar{f}_2\hat{g}_3 - \hat{f}_2) & 0 \\
0 & \lambda\bar{f}_4 & \bar{f}_4\hat{f}_1 & \bar{f}_4\hat{f}_2 & \bar{f}_4\hat{f}_3 & 1+\bar{f}_4\hat{f}_4 & \lambda^{-1}(\bar{f}_4\hat{g}_3 - \hat{f}_1) & 0 \\
0 & \bar{g}_3 & \lambda^{-1}(\bar{g}_3\hat{f}_1 - \bar{f}_4) & \lambda^{-1}(\bar{g}_3\hat{f}_2 - \bar{f}_2) & \lambda^{-1}(\bar{g}_3\hat{f}_3 - \bar{f}_3) & \lambda^{-1}(\bar{g}_3\hat{f}_4 - \bar{f}_1) & h_0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$h_0 = \lambda^{-2}(1 + \bar{g}_3\hat{g}_3 + \bar{f}_4\hat{f}_4 + \bar{f}_2\hat{f}_3 + \bar{f}_3\hat{f}_2 + \bar{f}_1\hat{f}_1).$$

Therefore, (2.25) is equivalent to

$$\bar{g}_3\hat{f}_1 - \bar{f}_4 = \bar{g}_3\hat{f}_3 - \bar{f}_3 = \bar{g}_3\hat{f}_2 - \bar{f}_2 = \bar{g}_3\hat{f}_4 - \bar{f}_1 = 0,$$

$$\bar{f}_1\hat{g}_3 - \hat{f}_4 = \bar{f}_2\hat{g}_3 - \hat{f}_2 = \bar{f}_3\hat{g}_3 - \hat{f}_3 = \bar{f}_4\hat{g}_3 - \hat{f}_1 = 0,$$

and

$$1 + g_3(z)\overline{g_3(\mu(z))} + f_1(z)\overline{f_1(\mu(z))} + f_2(z)\overline{f_3(\mu(z))} + f_3(z)\overline{f_2(\mu(z))} + f_4(z)\overline{f_4(\mu(z))} = 0.$$

Using $g_3 = -f_1 f_4 - f_2 f_3$, it is straightforward to verify that these equations are equivalent to (4.3). □

Acknowledgements Part of this work was done when the second named author visited the Department of Mathematics of Technische Universität München. He would like to express his sincere gratitude for both the hospitality and financial support. The second named author was partly supported by the Project 11201340 of NSFC and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

Reference

[1] Bryant, R. *Conformal and minimal immersions of compact surfaces into the 4-sphere,* J. Diff.Geom. 17(1982), 455-473.

[2] Burstall, F.E., Kilian, M. *Equivariant harmonic cylinders,* Quart. J. Math. 57 (2006), 449-468.

[3] Dorfmeister, J., Pedit, F., Wu, H., *Weierstrass type representation of harmonic maps into symmetric spaces,* Comm. Anal. Geom. 6 (1998), 633-668.

[4] Dorfmeister, J., Wang, P., *Willmore surfaces in spheres via loop groups I: generic cases and some examples*, arXiv:1301.2756.

[5] Dorfmeister, J., Wang, P., *On symmetric Willmore surfaces in spheres I: the orientation preserving case*, arXiv:1404.4278.

[6] Dorfmeister, J., Wang, P., *On equivariant Willmore surfaces in S^{n+2}*, in preparation.

[7] Ejiri N., *Equivariant minimal immersions of S^2 into $S^{2m}(1)$,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 1986, 297(1): 105-124.

[8] Ishihara T. *Harmonic maps of nonorientable surfaces to four-dimensional manifolds,* Tohoku Math. J., 1993, 45(1): 1-12.

[9] Lawson, H. Blaine. *Complete minimal surfaces in S^3*. Ann. of Math 92.2, 1970, 335-374.

[10] Montiel, S. *Willmore two spheres in the four-sphere,* Trans. Amer.Math. Soc. 2000, 352(10), 4469-4486.

[11] Wang, P., *Willmore surfaces in spheres by the loop group method III: Willmore spheres*, in preparation.

[12] Wang, P., *Willmore surfaces in spheres via loop groups IV: those conformal to minimal surfaces in* $\mathbb{R}^m$, in preparation.

[13] Wang, P., *A Weierstrass type representation of isotropic Willmore surfaces in* S^4, in preparation.

[14] Wang, P., *A simple characterization for Willmore surfaces being minimal surfaces in space forms via potentials*, in preparation.